Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

CHANGING RETAIL STORE DYNAMICS - A STUDY OF CONSUMER DURABLES MARKET IN SOUTH AFRICA

Dr. Rajesh Sharma and Dr. Abhinanda Gautam

Regenesys Business School, Johannesburg, South Africa

ABSTRACT: Retailers around the globe survives and prospers when they satisfy customers' needs more effectively than its competitors. Thus retailers offer unique benefits using different retail formats to satisfy the ever-changing customers' needs and to create the brand loyal customers who patronize their stores. Though retailers have been experimenting with the new and innovative retail formats, spending millions of dollars in designing new formats, little academic research is available which investigates the most sought after retail format in the consumer durables market of South Africa. This research paper attempts to explore the effect of retail formats on the customer buying behavior in the consumer durables market by examining a random sample of 96 respondents from the Gauteng province of Johannesburg, South Africa. The empirical research findings suggests that there is significant effect of Discount Stores, Super Stores, Hypermarkets and Warehouse Stores on the customer buying behavior in the consumer durables market of South Africa.

KEYWORDS: Retail Formats, Customer Buying Behavior, Consumer Durables.

INTRODUCTION

"Competition in the retailing industry has reached dramatic dimensions. New retailing formats appear in the market increasingly more rapidly. A focus on a particular aspect of the retail mix (e.g., service or price) means that retailers can compete on highly diverse dimensions. Scrambled merchandising and similar developments have implied that particular retailers are now competing against retailers they did not compete with in the past." Leszczyc, Sinha and Timmermans (2000). The South African market is a testimony of such a competitive landscape and as Kearney, 2014 report on Africa suggests "Modern retail accounts for more than 60 percent of sales in South Africa, led by local chain Shoprite. Supermarkets dominate the market thanks to substantial investment; hypermarkets have spread a little more slowly but cater to more affluent classes." The report further suggests that "bulk purchases are increasing, and store size, look and feel, and assortments are growing more important." The changing retail dynamics of South African market fuelled by a growing middle class population is attracting the global retailers, who along with the local retailers are experimenting with the retail formats to attract and retain the customers.

"The South African retail sphere offers attractive growth opportunities, which suggests that major changes in this sector are imminent. National retail companies such as Pick 'n Pay, Shoprite and Spar are aiming to expand over the next few years by increasing their number of retail outlets in South Africa." Marais, Klerk and Dye (2014). "As a certain result, store choice and patronage decision have been widely studied to serve for the need of understanding and developing the retail industry in many countries." Nguyen,Vu and Zhang(2010). Little empirical research is available in South Africa to help guide the retailers in selecting the most appropriate retail format in the consumer durables market.

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

This research paper aims to study the changing retail store dynamics in the consumer durables market of South Africa and in the process identify the effect of retail formats on the customer buying behavior.

LITERATURE REVIEW

"A store format has been defined as the mix of variables that retailers use to develop their business strategies and constitute the mix as assortment, price, and transactional convenience and experience" Messinger and Narsimhan(1997). Levy and Weitz (2002) defines a store format as a type of retail mix. Customers assess these different retail store formats and patronize them for the purchase of different types of goods. Hansen, Cumberland and Solgaard (2012) suggests that there can be a number of different dimensions which are potentially significant for the customer's assessment of individual stores.

An important dimension of the retail store choice is the age of the customer. "Young consumers, interestingly, lend to shop not from a utilitarian perspective but from a hedonistic perspective. Their key indulgence includes getting product ideas or meeting friends. They also view shopping as a means of diversion to alleviate depression or break the monotony of daily routine. In addition to this, they also go shopping to have fun or just browse through the outlets." Kiran and Jhamb (2011). Store location, attributes, environment and prices are other important dimensions that are found in store choice literature.

Bell, Ho and Tang (1998) also believes that shoppers are influenced predominantly by store location and travel distance. However Grewal, Krishnan, Baker and Borin (1998) opines that the three important components that appear to be key to store patronage decisions are the retailer's store image, quality of the merchandise/brands sold and price/promotions. Discussing other important dimensions ,Singh, Katiyar and Verma(2014) suggests that retail store atmospherics & design is also highly associated with future consumption behaviour in terms of the customers' intention to visit, purchase and recommend the store to family and friends. Liao, Liaw, and Jen(2011) further supports the argument and states that the design of the store environment is generally the first visual sensation noticed by the consumer.

Baker, Levy and Grewal (1992) suggests that in a time when retailers are finding it increasingly difficult to create a differential advantage on the basis of merchandise, price, promotion, and location, the store itself becomes a fertile opportunity for market differentiation. However Fox, Postrel and McLaughlin(2007) maintains that unlike a bad pricing or promotional decision, a poor store location adversely affects retailer performance for several years. But as Sinha and Kar (2007) suggests it is important for retailer to look at local conditions and insights into the local buying behaviour before shaping the format choice.

"Now a number of retailers are in a mode of experimentation and trying several formats which are essentially representation of retailing concepts to fit into the consumer mind space." Sinha and Kar(2007). This has intensified the competition and as a result "marketing strategies are changing too. Grocery retailers are developing new store formats aimed to capture the competitor's customers and expand their target market: discounters are improving the quality of the service to compete with the supermarkets and hypermarkets are developing lower pricing policies to compete with discounters." Bellini and Cardinali (2015).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

As their first step in the research process the researchers identified the retail store formats offering consumer durables in the Johannesburg market through the desk research. The retail store formats considered for the research are presented below in Table 1.

TABLE 1: Retail Store Formats Considered in The Consumer Durables Segment

S. No.	Sector	Retail store formats considered						
1.	Consumer	Super Markets, Super Stores, Hyper Markets, Warehouse Stores,						
	Durables	Departmental Stores, Discount Stores, Flea Markets, Speciality Stores						

As a next step in the research process, the elements of customer buying behavior which are predominantly considered by retailers and marketers were identified from the literature review and as recommended by Shi, Cheung and Prendergast (2005) involves stock piling (SP), purchase acceleration (PA), brand switching (BS), spending more(SM) and product trial (PT). Researchers included the sixth element of store visit (SV) in the study.

The next step was to decide on the sample size and sampling technique for collecting the primary data. For this study, the data was collected by convenience sampling method, which involved a structured and specifically designed questionnaire. The copies of the questionnaire were distributed to 115 students of 25-55 years age group in a college based in Gauteng, Johannesburg and the data was collected by personal interview in the form of written responses to the questionnaire. 19 copies of the questionnaire were ignored from the final analysis, as they were not properly/ completely filled. The questionnaire comprised of 13 questions which were divided in three sections-A, B & C. Section-A sought to obtain the respondents' demographic information while the Section-B ensured that the respondents are representative of the target population, conscious of the different retail store formats offering consumer durables. In cases where the response was negative, the data collection interview was terminated and the questionnaire was discarded. Section-C consisted of 6 questions on a 5-point Likert Scale ranging from 1 'Never' to 5 'Always', which assisted the research study to gain insight into the customers' behavior towards different retail store formats. The questions examined the most likely consumer response towards a retail store format.

The purpose of the study was to identify the effect of retail formats on the customer buying behavior in the consumer durables market. A specific customer behavioral response may be induced by more than one type of a retail format. For instance, a Hyper Market, a Specialty Store or a Discount Store may induce product trial. Likewise, a particular retail format may induce more than one type of customer behavioral response. For example, a Discount Store may induce spending more, product trial and store visit too. Thus the following hypothesis was developed to be tested-

H₀: Retail store format has no significant effect on the customer buying behavior in the consumer durables market.

 $H_{1:}$ Retail store format has a significant effect on the customer buying behavior in the consumer durables market.

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

Running from the hypothesis, the following key variables were formulated: It is to note that the researcher has represented the Variables as (V) and they are used as (VA, VB, VC, VD, VE, VF) across the tables used in the paper. The six variables of customer buying behavior was abridged for the purpose of the study as under-

- VA- Visit Retail store for purchase
- VB- Visit retail store for trying a new product
- VC- Retail store induces more expenditure
- VD- Retail store induces brand switching
- VE- Retail store accelerates purchases
- VF- Retail store induces stock piling

The degree of relationship between aforementioned variables was studied using correlation analysis. After establishing the relationship between variables, their association was also analyzed using Chi Square test. A further in-depth analysis was also conducted using Z-Test to study the overall impact of retail formats on the customer buying behavior.

The internal consistency of the data was verified using Cronbach alpha.

EMPIRICAL FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Alpha was developed by Lee Cronbach in 1951 to provide a measure of the internal consistency of a test or scale; it is expressed as a number between 0 and 1. According to Tavakol and Dennick (2011), internal consistency explains the degree to which all the items in a test measure the same concept or construct and hence it is connected to the inter-relatedness of the items within the test. In addition, reliability evaluations show the amount of measurement error in a test.

The internal consistency in the different types of retail stores under study was calculated using Cronbach Alpha and is presented in the Table 2.

S.NO.	TYPES OF STORES	CRONBACH a
1	Super Markets	0.81
2	Super Stores	0.94
3	Hypermarkets	0.93
4	Warehouse Store	0.82
5	Departmental Store	0.79
6	Discount Store	0.96
7	Flea Market	0.9
8	Speciality Stores	0.89

Table 2: CRONBACH ALPHA

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

For the demonstration of internal consistency of new scales and established scales α value of 0.6 and 0.7 is considered significant. The Cronbach alpha calculated in the Table 2 above shows a high value for all the retail stores, especially super stores (0.94), hypermarkets (0.93) and discount stores (0.96). This indicates the reliability of the scales is reasonably high, and hence suggests a high internal consistency among the measurement items.

EVALUATION OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN KEY-MEDIATING VARIABLES OF CONSUMER BEHAVIOR (CB) ON RESPONDENTS' SCORES

Correlation coefficients are measures of the degree of relationship between two or more variables. It is the discussion of relationship about the way in which the variables tend to vary together. In order to confirm the impact of variables on the customer buying behavior, the research made an endeavour to understand the degree of relationship between variables with respect to each identified retail store of South Africa.

CB Variables	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF
VA	1	0,91**	0,78**	0,74**	0,07	0,86**
VB	0,91**	1	0,75**	0,94**	0,26	0,82**
VC	0,78**	0,75**	1	$0,59^{*}$	0,43*	0,80**
VD	0,74**	0,94**	0,59	1	0,42*	0,62**
VE	0,07	0,26	0,43*	0,42*	1	-0,11
VF	0,86**	0,82**	0,80**	0,62**	-0,11	1

Table 3.1 Degree of Relationship between Key-Mediating Variables in Super Markets

**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level, (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Table 3.1 exhibits the degree of relationship between key mediating variables of consumer behavior. The table illustrates that the correlation in most of the variables is highly significant. However the correlation between VA and VE (.07), VB and VE (.25) and VF and VE (-0,11) is insignificant. This suggests that in case of Super Markets, VE has insignificant correlation with the other variables of consumer behavior.

TABLE 3.2 Degree of Relationship between Key-Mediating Variables in Super Stores
--

CB Variables	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF
VA	1	0,92**	0,90**	$0,55^{*}$	0,93**	$0,55^{*}$
VB	0,92**	1	0,84**	0,28	0,82**	0,74**
VC	0,90**	0,84**	1	0,45*	0,98**	0,36
VD	0,55*	0,28	0,45*	1	0,60**	-0,30
VE	0,93**	0,82**	0,98**	0,60**	1	0,28
VF	0,55*	0,74**	0,36	-0,30	0,28	1

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level, (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

The Table 3.2 shows that although the correlation between most of the variables is highly significant, some of them have insignificant correlation. The correlation between VB and VD (.28) is highly insignificant. The correlation between VD and VF (-0.3) and VC and VF (0.36) is insignificant. Similarly, variable VF has an insignificant correlation with other variables of consumer behavior.

CB						
Variables	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF
VA	1	0,94**	0,94**	0,87**	0,36	0,62**
VB	0,94**	1	0,94**	0,85**	0,27	0,55
VC	0,94**	0,93**	1	0,97**	0,37	0,74**
VD	0,87**	0,85**	0,97**	1	0,23	0,69**
VE	0,36	0,27	0,37	0,23	1	0,84**
VF	0,61**	0,55**	0,74**	0.68^{**}	0,83**	1

**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level, (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Table 3.3 reflects the degree of relationship between key mediating variables of consumer behavior with special reference to Hypermarkets of South Africa The table shows that variables have highly significant correlation with each other. However variable VE suffers from insignificant degree of relationship with most of the variables. VA (0.36), VB (0.27), VC (0.37), VD (0.23). Conversely VF and VE (0.83) have highly significant relation.

 TABLE 3.4- Degree of Relationship between Key-Mediating Variables in Warehouse

 Stores

CB Variables	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF
VA	1	0,57*	0,74**	0,93**	0,50*	0,77**
VB	0,57*	1	0,68**	0,71**	0,15	0,70**
VC	0,73**	0,68**	1	0,84**	0,73**	0,69**
VD	0,92**	0,71**	0,84**	1	0,39	0,93**
VE	0,49*	0,15	0,73**	0,39	1	0,08
VF	0,77**	0,70**	0,69**	0,93**	0,08	1

**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level, (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Table 3.4 reflects the degree of relationship between key mediating variables of consumer behavior with special reference to Warehouse stores of South Africa. It shows a very significant degree of relationship between variables of consumer behavior and significant with respect to

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

Warehouse store. However variable VF has insignificant correlation with VB (0.15), VD (0.39) and VF (0.08).

CB Variables	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF
VA	1	0,86**	0,69**	0,29	-0,67**	0,09
VB	0,86**	1	0,95**	0,40	-0,30	0,25
VC	0,69**	0,95**	1	0,30	-0,14	0,17
VD	0,29	0,40*	0,30	1	0,40*	0,83**
VE	-0,67**	-0,30	-0,14	0,40	1	0,56*
VF	0,09	0,25	0,16	0,83**	0,56*	1

 TABLE 3.5 – Degree of Relationship between Key-Mediating Variables in Departmental

 Stores

**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level, (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

The Table 3.5 shows that the correlation between most of the variables is not significant. The degree of relationships between VA and VD (0.29), VA and VF (0.09) is insignificant. The correlation between VB and V6 (0.25) is insignificant. The degree of relationships of VC is highly insignificant with VE (-0.14) and V6 (0.17)

TABLE 3.6 - Degree of Relationship between Key-Mediating Variables in Discount Stores

CB Variables	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF
VA	1	0,51*	0,76**	0,73**	$0,\!47^{*}$	0,44*
VB	0,51*	1	$0,70^{**}$	$0,40^{*}$	$0,97^{**}$	0,73**
VC	0,76**	$0,70^{**}$	1	0,34	$0,77^{**}$	$0,58^*$
VD	0,73**	$0,40^{*}$	0,34	1	0,22	$0,67^{**}$
VE	0,47*	0,97**	0,77**	0,22	1	0,63**
VF	0,44*	0,73**	0,58*	0,67**	0,63**	1

**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level, (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

It is established in the Table 3.6 that the degree of relationship between variables of consumer behavior is highly significant. It is evident that there is only one insignificant correlation, i.e. between VD and VF (0.22) in the table.

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

CB Variables	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF
VA	1	0,86**	0,85**	$0,79^{**}$	0,79**	0,83**
VB	0,86**	1	0,90**	0,71**	0,89**	0,73**
VC	0,85**	$0,90^{**}$	1	0,92**	0,94**	0,94**
VD	0,79**	0,71**	0,92**	1	0,76**	0,98**
VE	0,79**	0,89**	0,94**	0,76**	1	0,84**
VF	0,83**	0,73**	0,94**	0,98**	0,84**	1

 TABLE 3.7 – Degree of Relationship between Key-Mediating Variables in Flea Markets

**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level, (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

It is exhibited in the Table 3.7 that the degree of relationships between the variables is highly significant with each other, with reference to the Flea Markets of South Africa.

TABLE 3.8 – Degree of Relationship between Key-Mediating Variables in Specialty Stores

CB Variables	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF
VA	1	0,86**	0,60**	-0,07	0,60**	0,89**
VB	0,86**	1	0,80**	-0,17	0,90**	0,60**
VC	0,60**	0,80**	1	0,34	0,78**	0,17
VD	-0,07	-0,17	0,34	1	-0,31	-0,29
VE	0,60**	0,90**	0,78**	-0,31	1	0,29
VF	0,89**	0,60**	0,17	-0,29	0,29	1

**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level, (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

It is discovered in the Table 3.8 that in case of Specialty Store, the degree of relationship between most of the variables of consumer behavior is highly significant. However the correlation between VB and VD (-0.17), VC and VF (0,17), VA and VD (-0,07), VD and VF (-0,29) is highly insignificant.

The collected data was further analyzed in the Table 4 to examine the effect of retail store formats on the customer buying behavior using Chi-Square (χ^2) test. According to Anne F. Maben (2005), Chi-square (χ^2) test is used to determine whether there is a significant difference between the expected frequencies and the observed frequencies in one or more categories.

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

Table 4 Chi Square Test

FACTOR S	Preferance of Retail store for purchase of Consumer Durables		Preference of retail store trying a new consumer durable product?		Retail store induces more expenditu re		Retail store induces brand switching		Retail store accelerates purchases		Retail store induces stock piling	
Super Markets	11,9	0.01 7	7,3	0.12 1	9,2 3	0.05 6	6,24	0.18 2	6,05	0.19 5	10,1 5	0.03 8
Super Stores	64,5 1	.000 1	37,9 4	.000 1	11, 2	0.02 4	3,53	0.47 3	17,1 6	0.00 1	17,2 4	0.00 1
Hyper Markets	30,2 7	.000 1	14,6 1	0.0 05	15, 8	0.00 3	6,21	0.18 2	7,83	0.09 8	18,5 7	0.00 1
Warehous e Stores	11,0 1	0.02 6	25,2 9	0.00 1	10, 8	0.02 9	19,3 5	0.00 1	2,38	0.66 6	8,63	0.07 1
Departme ntal Stores	2,5	0.64 5	4,24	0.37 5	14, 8	0.00 5	15,8 5	0.00 3	5,3	0.25 8	13,4 2	0.00 9
Discount Stores	76,2 1	.000 1	42,5 6	.000 1	60, 2	.000 1	33,3 1	.000 1	21,4 7	0.00 1	26,0 1	0.00 1
Flea Markets	14,1 1	0.0 07	4,5	0.34 2	11, 6	0.02 1	9,76	0.04 5	8,06	0.09	1,68	0.79 4
Speciality Stores	7,03	0.13 4	10,8 3	0.02 9	4,1 3	0.38 9	1,81	0.77 1	9,94	0.04 2	3,79	0.43 5

It is reflected in the table that the respondents prefer to visit Superstores, Discount Stores and Hypermarkets to purchase consumer durable products. This result is statistically significant since p<0.05. However it is evident from the chi square value (2,5) and (7,03) that Departmental Stores and Specialty Stores respectively are not preferred retail format of the consumers for the purchase of consumer durables.

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

The Chi square value (χ^2 = 42.56) signifies that the Discount Stores followed by the Super Stores (χ^2 = 37.94) are the most preferred retail store formats of the consumers for trying a new consumer durable product. Conversely the *p*-value >0.05 at Departmental Stores (0.375) Flea markets(0.342) and Super Markets0.121 reflect that their influence is insignificant and not preferred by the consumers for the purchase of consumer durables

The study approves that except Discount Stores ($\chi^2 = 60,22$), no other store have a significant impact in tempting the consumers for more expenditure.

It is discovered that Discount Stores (χ^2 = 33,31) followed by Warehouse Stores (χ^2 = 19,35) have the most significant impact on the customers that induces them to change their brand of consumer durables. The study also suggests that the Specialty Stores (*p*-value (0.771) have the least impact on consumer's brand shifting followed by Super Stores, (0.473) Hypermarkets(0.182) and Supermarkets (0.182)

It is established from the study that the Discount Stores ($\chi^2 = 21.47$), has a significant impact on the consumers to accelerate their purchase of the consumer durables.

It is evident from the data that the Super Stores ($\chi^2 = 60,22$) and Hypermarkets ($\chi^2 = 60,22$) have significant impact on the consumers to pile a stock of consumer durables. However Flea Markets (0.794) and specialty stores (0.435) lack to create an impact on the consumers to pile a stock of Consumer durables.

Z Test

The one-independent sample z test is a statistical procedure used to test hypotheses concerning the mean in a single population with a known variance. Z Test was conducted to evaluate the significant impact of each retail store format considered in this research, on the customer buying behavior in South Africa.

S.NO.	TYPES OF STORES	Z score	<i>p</i> value
1	Super Market	-0,82	0.4122
2	Super Stores	3,82	0.0001
3	Hypermarkets	-2,55	0.0108
4	Warehouse Stores	-2,09	0.0366
5	Departmental Stores	0,82	0.4122
6	Flea Market	1,55	0.1211
7	Discount Stores	4,91	0.0001
8	Speciality Store	-1,36	0.1738

 Table 5
 Z-Test Scores for Individual Retail Stores

It is exhibited in the Table 5 that Discount Stores, Super Stores, Hypermarkets and Warehouse Stores have significant impact on the customer buying behavior of consumer durables. Where as Specialty Stores, Flea Markets, Departmental Stores and Super Markets that are having p value (0.1738), (0.1211), (0.4122), and (0.4122) respectively, don't have a significant effect on the customer buying behavior of consumer durables in South Africa.

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

In order to understand and study the overall effect of retail store formats on customer buying behaviour, the researcher decided to use the z test for a nondirectional, or two-tailed test, where the alternative hypothesis is stated as not equal to (\neq) the null hypothesis.

X	f	F	$\mathbf{d} = (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{X})$	d ²	f*d ²	f*X
1	99,38	99	-2	4	396	99
2	120	120	-1	1	120	240
3	142,88	142	0	0	0	426
4	134,13	134	1	1	134	536
5	79,63	80	2	4	320	400
Total		575	0	∑d²=10	970	1701
					$\sum f^{*}d^{2}=948$	∑F*X=1701

Table 6: Z Test - Determination of the Critical Value

 $\sigma x = \sqrt{\sum d^2 / (n-1)}$, Where $d^2 = (X-X)^2$

σ x =0.02

 $\mu = \sum f^*X \ / \ n = 2.95$

 $Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma x = 2.5$

$$Z = 2.5$$

In the study by Privitera (2015), we place the level of significance in both tails of the sampling distribution. In Table 6, we were therefore interested in any alternative from the null hypothesis. The researcher studied the one–independent sample z test and determined the critical value, we retained the null hypothesis at a 0.05 level of significance (α = .05).

From the calculations above, the findings of the Z-test confirms that the null hypothesis is rejected, because the value of Z is significant at (0.05) level of significance. Consequently the alternate hypothesis is established. It is confirmed from the above test that overall retail store formats have a significant effect on the customer buying behavior of consumer durables in South Africa.

Discussion On The Findings Of The Study

The empirical research findings above establish that the retail store formats have a significant effect on the customer buying behavior. However all the retail store formats do not have a significant effect and the level of effect also varies. Retail store formats like Discount Stores and Warehouse stores have a significant effect on the customer buying behavior whereas Flea Markets have an insignificant effect. These findings upholds the suggestions made by Hansen et al (2012) where they submit that there can be a number of different dimensions which are potentially significant for the customer's assessment of individual stores.

Correlation coefficients evaluates the strength of association between two variables. In the study, the correlation between most of the variables of the customer behaviour is positive. This means that when the value of one variable increases the value of other variables also tend to

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

increase. However in most of the retail stores except the Flea Markets, the degree of relationship of Variable (VE) i. e. Retail Store accelerates purchases, has insignificant relationships with other variables. This establishes that there is no apparent relationship between the VE variable of customer behaviour and other variables of customer behaviour. It is also noteworthy that even Variable (VF) i.e. Retail Store induces stock piling, does not have a significant degree of relationship with other variables in case of Super Stores, Departmental Stores and Speciality Stores.

The study by Sinha and Kar (2007) wherein they argue that a number of retailers are in a mode of experimentation and trying several formats is further deliberated by the results of this study that clearly indicates that Discount Stores, Super Stores, Hypermarkets and Warehouse Stores have significant impact on the customer buying behavior of consumer durables. Where as Specialty Stores, Flea Markets, Departmental Stores and Super Markets have an insignificant effect.

The current thinking as evidenced by the study of Singh et al (2014) suggests that retail store atmospherics & design is highly associated with future consumption behavior in terms of the customers' intention to visit, purchase. The results of this study approves these suggestions by going a step further and indicating that Super Stores, Discount Stores and Hyper Markets are favored by customers to purchase consumer durables while Discount Stores and Super Stores are preferred with an intention to try new products in the category.

Limitations

The research study is not free from limitations, for example the sample was collected using convenience-sampling method from Gauteng province of South Africa and hence the research findings can only be applied to the South African context and cannot be generalized. Additionally, the research findings are restricted to the consumer durables market only and the results may not hold true for other categories of products.

The retail store environment of South Africa is changing very fast and the research study findings of 2015 may not hold good in the years to come.

CONCLUSIONS

The effect of retail formats on the customer buying behavior in the consumer durables market of South Africa was examined in this study. The degree of relationship between the key mediating variables of Customer buying behavior was studied and evaluated. It is confirmed that most of the variables have a positive correlation with other variables of customer behavior. However in some cases, variables have significant inverse relationship with each other. The study revealed that overall Variable (VE) had insignificant correlation with most of the variables. This showcases that retail stores of South Africa have lesser effect on accelerating the purchase of Consumer durables. The reason behind the insignificant relationship can also be considered as further scope of research. Further it is also important to note that in some cases, Variable (VF) also has insignificant correlation with some of the variables, which confirms that Departmental Stores, Super Stores and Specialty Stores of South Africa makes lesser effect on stock piling decision of consumer durables.

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

The study revealed that, there is significant relationship between Superstores/Discount Stores/Hypermarkets and buying of consumer durable products; there is insignificant relationship between Departmental Stores/Specialty Stores and buying of consumer durables; there is significant relationship between Discount Stores/Super Stores and trying new consumer durables; there is insignificant relationship between Departmental Stores/Flea Markets/Super Markets and trying new consumer durables; there is a significant relationship between Discount Stores and spending more; there is significant relationship between Discount Stores/Warehouse Stores and switching brands; there is insignificant relationship between Specialty Stores/Super Stores/Hyper Markets/Super Markets and switching brands; there is significant relationship between Significant relationship between Discount Stores and purchase acceleration; there is significant relationship between Significant relationship between Stores/Hyper Markets and piling of stock; and there is insignificant relationship between Flea Markets/Specialty Stores and piling of stock.

The study concludes that there is significant effect of Discount Stores, Super Stores, Hypermarkets and Warehouse Stores on the customer buying behavior in the consumer durables market of South Africa.

The study thus proposes that it could be to the advantage of retailers of consumer durables in South Africa to understand the relationship between retail store formats and the customer buying behavior variables. The retailers may select a suitable retail format to have a desired effect on the customer.

REFERENCES

- A.T. Kearney. (2014). Seizing Africa's Retail Opportunities. The 2014 African Retail Development Index. Retrieved from
- Anne F. Maben, (2005), *Statistics for the Social Science*, SAGE Publications.
- Baker, J., Levy, W. and Grewal, D. (1992), An Experimental Approach to Making Retail Store Environmental Decisions. *Journal of Retailing*, 68(4), 445-460.
- Bell, D. R, Ho, T and Tang, C. S.(1998), Determining Where to Shop: Fixed and Variable Costs of Shopping. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 35, 352-369.
- Bellini, S and Cardinali, M.G. (2015), A Consumer Perspective on Grocery Retailers Differentiation. *International Business Research*, 8(8), 129-140.
- Cronbach L. (1951). *Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests*. Psychomerika;16, 297-334.
- Fox, E. J., Postrel, S. and McLaughlin, A.(2007), The Impact of Retail Location on Retailer Revenues: An Empirical Investigation. *Unpublished manuscript, Edwin L. Cox School* of Business, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX.
- Gregory J. Privitera, (2015), Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences, AGE Publications, Inc.
- Grewal, D., Krishnan, R., Baker, J. and Borin, N. (1998), The Effect of Store Name, Brand Name and Price Discounts on Consumers' Evaluations and Purchase Intentions. *Journal of Retailing*, 74(3), 331-352.
- Hansen, T., Cumberland, F. and Solgaard, H.S. (2012), How the Measurement of Store Choice Behaviour Moderates the Relationship between Distance and Store Choice Behaviour. *International Marketing Trends Conference*, Paris, January.
- https://www.atkearney.com/documents/10192/4371960/Seizing+Africas+Retail+Opportuniti es.pdf/730ba912-da69-4e09-9b5d-69b063a3f139.

Vol.5, No.9, pp. 43-56, December 2017)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

- Kiran, R. and Jhamb, D.(2011), A Strategic Framework for Consumer Preferences towards Emerging Retail Formats. *Journal of Emerging Knowledge on Emerging Markets*, 3, 437-453.
- Leszczyc, P.T.L.P., Sinha, A. and Timmermans, H.J.P.(2000), Consumer Store Choice Dynamics: An Analysis of the Competitive Market Structure for Grocery Stores. *Journal of Retailing*, 76(3),323-345.
- Levy, M. Weitz, B. A. (2002), Retailing Management, Tata McGraw Hill, Fourth Edition.
- Liao, Y.Y., Liaw, G.F. and Jen, F. (2011), How Cues in the Multiple Store Environment Influence Shopping Mood and Patronage Satisfaction? *Journal of International Management Studies*, 6(1).
- Marais, H.T., Klerk, N.D. and Dye, A.L.B. (2014), Perceived Effectiveness of Sales Promotion Techniques amongst South African Generation Y Students. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(21),51-59.
- Messinger, P.R. and Narsimhan, C.(1997), A model of retail formats based on consumer's economizing on shopping time. *Marketing Science*, 16(1), 1-23.
- Mohsen Tavakol and Reg Dennick, (2011), Making sense of Cronbach's alpha, *International Journal of Medical Education*, 2(5), 31-33
- Nguyen, H. H., Vu, P. and Zhang, X.(2010), An Exploration Of Store Attribute Salience On Store Choice Behaviour In An Emerging Market– The Case Of Vietnam Grocery Market. *International Marketing and Brand Management*.
- Shi, Z., Cheung, K. and Prendergast, G. (2005), Behavioural Response to Sales Promotion Tools- A Hong Kong Study. *International Journal Of Advertising*, 24(4), 467-486.
- Singh, P., Katiyar, N. and Verma,G.(2014), Retail Shoppability: The Impact Of Store Atmospherics & Store Layout On Consumer Buying Patterns. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 3(8), 15-23.
- Sinha, P.K. and Kar, S.K. (2007), An Insight into the Growth of New Retail Formats in India. *IIM Ahmedabad*, W.P. 2007-03-04.